

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

**JOHN DOE 162,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY,**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. 2:23-cv-2991**

**Judge Michael H. Watson**

**Magistrate Judge Deavers**

---

**STEVE SNYDER-HILL, *et al.*,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY,**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. 2:23-cv-2993**

**Judge Michael H. Watson**

**Magistrate Judge Deavers**

---

**WILLIAM KNIGHT, *et al.*,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY,**

**Defendant.**

**Case No. 2:23-cv-2994**

**Judge Michael H. Watson**

**Magistrate Judge Deavers**

---

JOHN DOE,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-2996

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Deavers

---

EDWARD GONZALES, *et al.*,

Plaintiff,

v.

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:23-cv-3051

Judge Michael H. Watson

Magistrate Judge Deavers

### ORDER

The Court will hold a telephone status conference in these matters on **Thursday, February 20, 2025, at 12:00 p.m.** In preparation, Defendant is **ORDERED** to synthesize certain information from the multiple attachments to its January 28, 2025 email into a single Excel spreadsheet in the following way:


Plaintiff Name	Total Number of Documents Outstanding	Number of Outstanding Documents by Category as applicable (e.g., Employment: (#); Medical: (#); Billing: (#); Academic: (#))	Has Plaintiff's counsel represented either that they've provided all information the client has or that MRC should cease further
----------------	---------------------------------------	--	--

			collection efforts? (Y/N)
Case Number: 2:23-cv-2991			
Case Number: 2:23-cv-2993			
Case Number: 2:23-cv-2994			
Case Number: 2:23-cv-3051			

Defendant shall submit this information for *in camera* review electronically to each Judge's chambers email address no later than **Friday, February 14, 2025**.

Additionally, notwithstanding Defendant's expressed need for additional records, each side should be prepared to present its choice of ten discovery plaintiffs at the telephone conference, and the Court will determine during the conference whether to grant Defendant's request for additional time to revise that list pending additional records collection efforts.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

  
**MICHAEL H. WATSON, JUDGE**  
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

  
**ELIZABETH A. PRESTON DEAVERS**  
**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**